0198-001

MAY 0 5 2003

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

CITY OF KANKAKEE,)
Petitioner,) PCB 03-125) PCB 03-133
V) PCB 03-134
·V.) PCB 03-135) (consolidated)
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, COUNTY) (Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeals)
BOARD OF KANKAKEE, and WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.))
·	ý)
Respondents.	

NOTICE OF FILING

To: (See attached Service List.)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this 5th day of May 2003, the following County's **Motion in Limine to Bar Evidence Relating to the Host Agreement**, directed to the hearing officer, was filed with the Illinois Pollution Control Board, attached and herewith served upon you.

COUNTY OF KANKAKEE and COUNTY BOARD OF KANKAKEE

Bv:

Elizabeth S. Harvey

One of Its Attorneys

Elizabeth S. Harvey SWANSON, MARTIN & BELL One IBM Plaza, Suite 2900 330 North Wabash Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611 Telephone: (312) 321-9100

Firm I.D. No. 29558

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, state that I served a copy of the described document in the above-captioned matter via hand-delivery to the hearing officer (at hearing) and via hand-delivery (at hearing)/U.S. Mail to all persons listed on the service list on May 5, 2003.

Elizabeth S. Harvey

[x] Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, I certify that the statements set forth herein are true and correct.

SERVICE LIST KANKAKEE COUNTY/WMII LANDFILL SITING

Bradley P. Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Charles F. Helsten Richard Porter Hinshaw & Culbertson 100 Park Avenue P.O. Box 1389 Rockford, IL 61105

Kenneth A. Leshen One Dearborn Square Suite 550 Kankakee, IL 60901

Donald Moran Pedersen & Houpt 161 North Clark Street Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60601-3242

George Mueller George Mueller, P.C. 501 State Street Ottawa, IL 61350 L. Patrick Power 956 North Fifth Avenue Kankakee, IL 60901

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz Querry & Harrow, Ltd. 175 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60604

Keith Runyon 165 Plum Creek Drive Bourbonnais, IL 60914

Kenneth A. Bleyer Attorney at Law 923 West Gordon Terrace, #3 Chicago, IL 60613-2013

Leland Milk 6903 S. Route 45-52 Chebanse, IL 60922-5153

Patricia O'Dell 1242 Arrowhead Drive Bourbonnais, IL 60914

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

HE	SE	M.W.	Æ	E
CLEF	K'S	OF	FICE	=

ILLINOIS I OLLO	TION CONTROL BOARD
CITY OF KANKAKEE,	MAY 0 5 2003
OTT OF KANKAKEE,)
) PCB 03-125 STATE OF ILLINOIS
Petitioner,) PCB 03-133 Pollution Control Board
) PCB 03-134
V.) PCB 03-135
) (consolidated)
COUNTY OF KANKAKEE, COUNTY) (Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeals)
BOARD OF KANKAKEE, and WASTE)
MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.	,
	,
Respondents	΄

COUNTY'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO BAR EVIDENCE RELATING TO THE HOST AGREEMENT

Respondent COUNTY BOARD OF KANKAKEE ("County"), by its attorneys Hinshaw & Culbertson and Swanson, Martin & Bell, hereby moves the hearing officer to bar the introduction, at hearing, of any evidence regarding the adoption of the Host Agreement between the County and respondent WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC. ("WMII"). In support thereof, the County states:

- 1. Petitioners have conducted discovery (both written discovery and depositions) regarding the adoption of the Host Agreement between the County and WMII. That Host Agreement was adopted by the County on December 21, 2001. Petitioners' inquiry into the adoption of the Host Agreement was pursuant to the hearing officer's ruling that WMII and the County must respond to discovery regarding the Host Agreement "to the extent that the reference to the "Host Agreement" pertains to the eventual siting decision." (Hearing Officer Order, April 17, 2003, at page 3.)
- However, petitioners should be prohibited from introducing evidence relating to the adoption of the Host Agreement at hearing. The Host Agreement in this case does not pertain to the eventual siting decision.

- It is well-settled that adoption of a host agreement between an applicant and the decisionmaker is a legislative function which is not an indication of prejudgment or bias. In Residents Against a Polluted Environment v. County of LaSalle and Landcomp, PCB 96-243 (Sept. 16, 1996), the Pollution Control Board upheld the hearing officer's refusal to allow petitioners to introduce evidence (at the Pollution Control Board hearing) regarding the adoption of a host agreement between the applicant and the decisionmaker. The Board, relying on the decisions in E & E Hauling v. Pollution Control Board, 107 III.2d 33, 481 N.E.2d 664 (1985); Fairview Area Citizens Taskforce (FACT) v. Pollution Control Board, 198 III.App.3d 541, 555 N.E.2d 1178 (3d Dist. 1990); Woodsmoke Resorts v. City of Marsailles, 174 III.App.3d 906, 529 N.E.2d 275 (3d Dist. 1988); and Gallatin National v. Fulton County Board, PCB 91-256 (June 15, 1992), found that the host agreement was "not indicative of predisposition or bias", but was a "permissible preliminary step". LaSalle, PCB 96-243 (Sept. 16, 1996, slip op. at 16-17). The Board made the same finding in Residents Against a Polluted Environment v. County of LaSalle, PCB 97-139 (June 19, 1997), aff'd 293 Ill.App.3d 219, 687 N.E.2d 552 (3d Dist. 1997).
- 4. The Board's rulings were consistent with its ruling earlier in the first Landcomp case that any ex parte contacts between the applicant and the decisionmaker prior to the filing of the application are irrelevant, since any such contacts are not impermissible. Residents Against a Polluted Environment v. County of LaSalle, PCB 96-243 (July 18, 1996, slip op. at 3-4), citing Citizens for a Better Environment v. City of Beardstown, PCB 94-48 (January 11, 1995).
- 5. In short, the Board has repeatedly held that the events surrounding the adoption of a host agreement are irrelevant to a local siting appeal, as the adoption of a host agreement is not indicative of predisposition or bias. Additionally, the Board has held that contacts between an applicant and a decisionmaker prior to the

filing of the application are irrelevant. Thus, the events surrounding the adoption of the Host Agreement in this case (adopted on December 21, 2001, twenty months prior to the filing of the siting application on August 16, 2002) cannot be used to determine whether the County's siting proceeding was fundamentally fair.

WHEREFORE, the County moves that the hearing officer bar the introduction at hearing of any evidence relating to the December 21, 2001 Host Agreement, and for such other relief as the hearing officer deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

COUNTY OF KANKAKEE and COUNTY BOARD OF KANKAKEE

Bv:

Elizabeth S. Harvey One of Its Attorneys

Charles F. Helsten Richard Porter Hinshaw & Culbertson 100 Park Avenue P.O. Box 1389 Rockford, IL 61105-1389 815/490-4900

Elizabeth S. Harvey Swanson, Martin & Bell One IBM Plaza, Suite 2900 330 North Wabash Avenue Chicago, IL 60611 312/321-9100